# Engagement Policy Implementation Statement for the Year Ended 5 April 2024 Nutricia Limited Staff Pension Scheme ("the Scheme")

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Engagement Policy Implementation Statement (known as the Statement) presents the Trustee's assessment of their adherence to their engagement policy and their policy concerning the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments throughout the one-year period ending 5 April 2024 (the "Scheme Year"). The Trustee's policies are outlined in their Statement of Investment Principles (SIP). The SIP was last reviewed in February 2024 to reflect the new low risk investment strategy implemented for the Scheme. The majority of the Scheme's assets with voting rights attaching were disinvested from in November 2023. This reflected the Trustee's decision to proceed with buy-in, as such, the Scheme's assets were switched to a combination of UK government bonds, cash, investment grade credit, and Liability Driven Investment ("LDI"). A copy of the Trustee's SIP is available <a href="https://example.com/here/bere/learning/">here/learning/<a href="https://example.com/here/bere/learning/"

This Statement has been prepared in accordance with the *Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019* and the guidance published by the Department for Work and Pensions.

The Trustee has appointed Mercer Limited (Mercer) as the discretionary investment manager and the Scheme's assets are invested in a diverse range of specialised pooled funds (known as the Mercer Funds). The management of each of the Mercer Fund's assets is carried out by a Mercer affiliate, namely Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE) thereafter.

The relevant Mercer affiliate is responsible for the appointment and monitoring of a suitably diversified portfolio of specialist third party investment managers for the assets of each Mercer Fund.

Under these arrangements, the Trustee acknowledges that they do not possess direct authority over the engagement or voting policies and arrangements of the Mercer Funds' managers. Mercer's publicly available <u>Sustainability Policy</u> outlines how it addresses sustainability risks and opportunities, incorporating Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) factors into the decision making across process. The <u>Stewardship Policy</u> provides further details on Mercer's beliefs and implementation of stewardship practices..

Mercer's Client Engagement Survey aims to integrate the Trustee's perspectives on specific themes by evaluating the alignment between Mercer's engagement priority areas and those of the Trustee. Additionally, the survey highlights areas of focus that hold importance to the Trustee. The Trustee regularly receives reports from Mercer regarding the engagement and voting activities conducted within the Mercer Funds to assess the alignment of these with their own.

Section 2 of this Statement outlines the Trustee's engagement policy and evaluates the extent to which it has been followed during the Scheme Year.

Section 3 sets out the Trustee's policy regarding the exercising of rights (including voting rights) attached to the Scheme's investments. This Section also provides detailed information on the voting activities undertaken by third-party investment managers appointed within the Mercer Funds during the Scheme Year.

Considering the analysis presented in Sections 2 to 3, the Trustee believes that their policies with regard to engagement and the exercise of rights attaching to investments have been successfully followed during the Scheme Year.

### 2. TRUSTEE'S POLICY ON ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) ISSUES, INCLUDING **CLIMATE CHANGE**

#### **Policy Summary**

The Trustee's ESG beliefs are outlined in Section 6 of the Scheme's SIP.

The Trustee regularly receives the Stewardship and Sustainability policies noted above. If the Trustee finds that the relevant policies of Mercer, MGIE or the third party asset managers do not align with their own beliefs they will notify Mercer and consider disinvesting some or all of the assets held in the Mercer Funds. They may also seek to renegotiate commercial terms with Mercer.

#### How the Policy has been implemented over the Scheme Year

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustee's policy on ESG factors, stewardship and climate change.

#### **Policy Updates**

The Trustee considers how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within Mercer's, and MGIE's, investment processes and those of the underlying asset managers within the Mercer Funds, in the monitoring process. Mercer, and MGIE, provide reporting to the Trustee on a regular basis.

The Mercer Sustainability Policy is reviewed by the Trustee. In August 2023 the governance

#### Climate Change Reporting and Carbon Foot- Mercer Ratings printing

Mercer and the Trustee believe climate change poses a systemic risk, with financial impacts driven applied during the manager research process. by two key sources of change:

increase in average global temperatures

Stewardship and active ownership form an important part of Mercer's ratings framework

Mercer's ratings include an assessment of the 1. The physical damages expected from an extent to which ESG factors are incorporated in a strategy's investment process as well as the manager's approach to stewardship.

section was updated, and the climate scenario modelling section is now detailed in the standalone Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) report.

In line with the requirements of the EU Shareholder Rights Directive II (SRD II), Mercer has implemented a standalone Stewardship Policy to specifically address the requirements of SRD II. SRD II is a regulatory framework aimed at enhancing shareholder rights and improving corporate governance within the European Union.

The most recent <u>UN Principles of Responsible Investment</u> results (based on 2022 activity) awarded Mercer with 4 out of 5 stars for Policy Governance and Strategy. The United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI) is a global initiative that provides a framework for incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into investment practices.

The Financial Reporting Council confirmed in February 2024 that MGIE continues to meet the expected standard of reporting and will remain a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code, which represents best practice in stewardship.

2. The associated transition to a low-carbon Across most asset classes, Mercer ratings are economy reviewed during quarterly monitoring by the

Each of these changes presents both risks and opportunities to investors. Mercer therefore considers the potential financial impacts at a diversified portfolio level, in portfolio construction within asset classes, and in investment manager selection and monitoring processes.

In early 2021, Mercer announced its aim to achieve net-zero absolute portfolio carbon emissions by 2050 for UK, European and Asian discretionary portfolios, and for the majority of its multi-client, multi-asset funds domiciled in Ireland. To achieve this, Mercer also established an expectation that portfolio carbon emissions intensity would reduce by 45% from 2019 baseline levels and is on track to achieve this. Mercer's approach to managing climate change risks is consistent with the framework recommended by the Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), as described in the Mercer Investment Solutions Europe - Responsible Investment website.

As of 31 December 2023, Mercer are on track to meet their long-term net zero portfolio carbon emissions expectation. There has been a notable 33% reduction over the 4 years since 2019 baseline levels for the Model Growth Portfolio used by most of Mercer's DB client base, bringing the 45% baseline-relative reduction by 2030 well within range.

Across most asset classes, Mercer ratings are reviewed during quarterly monitoring by the portfolio management teams with a more comprehensive review performed annually. In these reviews, Mercer seek evidence of positive momentum on managers' ESG integration.

These ratings assigned by Mercer are included in the investment performance reports produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis and reviewed by the Trustee.

**Approach to Exclusions** 

**Diversity** 

In Q3 2022, MGIE became a signatory of the UK Chapter of the 30% Club and helped to establish

Mercer and MGIE's preference is to emphasise integration and stewardship approaches, however, in a limited number of instances, exclusions of certain investments may be necessary based on Mercer's Investment Exclusions Framework. Controversial weapons and civilian firearms are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds, and passive equity funds. In addition, tobacco companies and nuclear weapons are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds. Some funds have additional exclusions as outlined on the Mercer Investment Solutions Europe - Responsible Investment website.

In addition, Mercer and MGIE monitors for highseverity breaches of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Principles that relate to human rights, labour, environmental and corruption issues.

Mercer's ambition to promote diversity extends beyond its own business through to the managers it appoints. This is partly assessed within the manager research process and documented in a dedicated section within research reports.

Mercer considers broader forms of diversity in decision-making, but currently report on gender diversity. As of 1 April 2023, 35% of the Key Decision Makers (KDM's) within Mercer Investment Solutions team are non-male, and Mercer's long term target is 50%.

Within the Fixed Income universe, the average fund has 13% non-male KDM's and within the EMEA Active Equity universe, the average is 17%. Figures relating to Mercer Fixed Income and Active Equity Funds are currently slightly ahead or aligned, at 15% and 17%.

the Irish Chapter over 2023. The 30% Club is a business-led initiative that aims to increase gender diversity on corporate boards and in senior leadership positions

#### **Engagement**

Engagement is an important aspect of Mercer's stewardship activities on behalf of the Trustee. <u>The 2023 Stewardship Report</u> highlights the engagement objectives which have been set, examples of engagement and the escalation process. Mercer also participates in collaborative initiatives related to stewardship.

Mercer conducts an annual Global Manager Engagement Survey on sustainability and stewardship topics. The survey was distributed to over 200 managers appointed by the Mercer Funds. The survey aims to gather information on managers' broad approach to stewardship as part of their investment integration. It also seeks insights and examples of voting and engagement activities. The results from the survey serve as an important source of information for tracking and measuring the managers' stewardship efforts, assessing effectiveness and identifying potential areas for improvement.

The results and insights from the survey will be shared in Mercer's Annual Stewardship Report. This report is received by the Trustee providing them with valuable information on the managers' stewardship activities and their alignment with Mercer's objectives.

# 3. TRUSTEE'S POLICY ON EXERCISE OF RIGHTS (INCLUDING VOTING RIGHTS) ATTACHING TO SCHEME INVESTMENTS

The Trustee's policy is as follows:

- Delegation of Investment Management: The Trustee delegates responsibility for the discretionary investment management of Scheme assets to Mercer. The Scheme's assets are invested in a range of Mercer Funds for which MGIE or relevant Mercer affiliate acts as investment manager.
- Reporting of Engagement and Voting: In order for the Trustee to fulfil their obligations regarding voting and engagement, they require reporting
  on the engagement and voting activities undertaken within the Mercer Funds. This reporting helps the Trustee assess whether the policies
  align with their own delegation of Voting Rights: Voting rights that apply to the underlying investments attached to the Mercer Funds are
  ultimately delegated to the third-party investment managers appointed by MGIE. MGIE accepts that these managers are typically best placed
  to exercise voting rights and prioritise particular engagement topics, given their detailed knowledge of the governance and operations of the
  invested companies. However, Mercer plays a pivotal role in monitoring the stewardship activities of those managers and promoting more
  effective stewardship practices, including attention to more strategic themes and topics.
- Proxy Voting Responsibility: Proxy voting responsibility is given to listed equity investment managers with the expectation that all shares are
  voted<sup>1</sup> in a timely manner and in a manner deemed most likely to protect and enhance long-term value. Mercer and MGIE carefully evaluates
  each sub-investment manager's capability in ESG engagement and proxy voting as part of the selection process, ensuring alignment with
  Mercer's commitment to good governance and the integration of sustainability considerations. Managers are expected to take account of
  current best practice such as the UK Stewardship Code, to which Mercer is a signatory. As such the Trustee does not use the direct services
  of a proxy voter.

A summary of the voting activity for a range of Mercer Funds in which the Scheme's assets are invested in is provided for the year ending 5 April 2024. This may include information in relation to funds that the Scheme's assets were no longer invested in at the year end. The statistics are drawn from the Glass Lewis system (via the custodian of the Mercer Funds). Glass Lewis is a leading provider of governance and proxy voting services.

Mercer considers that votes exercised against management can indicate a thoughtful and active approach, particularly when votes are exercised to escalate engagement objectives.

<sup>1</sup> There are a number of limited circumstances where voting rights may not be exercised relating to, for example, conflicts of interest, share-blocking markets, power of attorney (POA) markets etc.

E.m.d	Total P	roposals		Vo	ote Decisi	on	For/Ag	ainst Mgmt	Mee	etings
Fund	Eligible Proposals	Proposals Voted On	For	Against	AbstainN	o ActionOth	er For	Against	No.	Against
MGI Eurozone Equity Fund	4,415	4,223	84%	12%	1%	4% 0%	88%	12%	255	54%
MGI UK Equity Fund	2,132	2,126	98%	2%	0%	0% 0%	98%	2%	97	28%
Mercer Multi-Asset Credit Fund (1)	15	15	100%	0%	0%	0% 0%	100%	0%	5	0%
Mercer Passive Emerging Markets Equity Fund	22,915	21,686	79%	16%	1%	4% 0%	82%	18%	2808	52%
Mercer Passive Low Volatility Equity UCITS CCF	4,032	3,954	82%	13%	0%	2% 3%	85%	15%	282	75%
Mercer Passive Global Small Cap Equity UCITS CCF	47,441	45,370	81%	13%	0%	4% 2%	85%	15%	4441	70%
Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF	3,208	3,084	75%	19%	0%	4% 2%	78%	22%	332	68%
Mercer Passive Climate Transition Infrastructure Equity UCITS CCF	3,239	3,059	69%	24%	2%	3% 1%	74%	26%	295	72%
Mercer Passive Fundamental Indexation Global Equity	3,274	3,232	83%	13%	0%	1% 3%	86%	14%	225	76%
Mercer Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF	17,113	16,467	75%	19%	1%	3% 2%	78%	22%	1180	82%
Mercer China Equity Fund	4,909	4,806	86%	12%	2%	1% 0%	87%	13%	489	44%

<sup>\*</sup>The table above includes funds as of 8th November 2023. Most of the funds with voting rights were disinvested from by 9th November 2023.

- "Eligible Proposals" reflect all proposals of which managers were eligible to vote on over the period
- "Proposals Voted On" reflect the proposals managers have voted on over the period (including votes For and Against, and any frequency votes encompassed in the "Other" category)"
- Vote Decision may not sum to 100 due to rounding. "No Action" reflects instances where managers have not actioned a vote. MGIE may follow up with managers to understand the reasoning behind these decisions, and to assess the systems managers have in place to ensure voting rights are being used meaningfully
- "Other" refers to proposals in which the decision is frequency related (e.g. 1 year or 3 year votes regarding the frequency of future say-on-pay).
- "Meetings No." refers to the number of meetings the managers were eligible to vote at.

**Significant Votes:** The Trustee has based the definition of significant votes in line with the requirements of the Shareholder Rights Directive (SRD) II and on Mercer's Global Engagement Priority themes, The *most* significant proposals reported below relate to the three companies with the largest weight in each fund (relative to other companies in the full list of significant proposals).

Where available, information on next steps and plans to escalate are included in the following table.

<sup>(1)</sup> Voting Activity figures for the Mercer Multi-Asset Credit fund relate to a small number of equity holdings within the fund's underlying segregated mandates. Please note this does not include voting activity from any underlying pooled strategies within the fund over the period

<sup>&</sup>quot;Meetings Against" refers to the no. of meetings where the managers voted at least once against management, reported as a % of the total eligible meetings.

## **Most Significant Votes**

Fund	Company (Holding Weight)	Meeting Date: Proposal Text (Significance Category)	Manager Vote Decision (Intention to vote against management communicated – Rationale, if available	Proposal Outcome (Next steps to report, if any)
	Apple Inc (3.1%)	28/02/2024: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Median Gender and Racial Pay Equity Report (Governance)	For (No - Manager's policy dictates they will support proposals that seek the disclosure of the median pay gap.)	30.9% Support Proposal did not pass. (The shareholder proposal received support of 33.8% of votes cast. Manager will be reviewing whether Apple take further steps in regard to diversity reporting.)
Passive	Apple Inc (3.1%)	28/02/2024: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Congruency Report on Privacy and Human Rights Policies (Social)	Against (No - Apple appears to provide shareholders with sufficient disclosure to assess its management of risks related to its operations in high-risk markets and to have policies and oversight mechanisms in place that seem to address human rights concerns raised by the proponent.)	1.6% Support Proposal did not pass. (No further steps are planned on this specific topic as we feel that the matter is already addressed.)
	Apple Inc (3.1%)	28/02/2024: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Equal Employment Opportunities (EEO) Policy Risk Report (Social)	efforts and non-discrimination policies. Including viewpoint and ideology in EEO policies does not appear to be a standard industry practice.)	1.3% Support Proposal did not pass. (No further steps are planned on this specific topic as we feel that the matter is already addressed.)
	Microsoft Corporation (2.8%)	07/12/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding EEO Policy Risk Report (Social)	Against (No - The company's existing policies prohibit discrimination based on political affiliations, The company reports on its diversity and inclusion initiatives and has initiatives in place to increase diverse hiring. The company prohibits discrimination on the basis of protected class and seeks to promote a	1% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)

			culture based on equal opportunity. This proposal is covered by existing policies.)	
		07/12/2023 : Shareholder	covered by existing policies.	
		Proposal Regarding Report on		33% Support
		Siting in Countries of		Proposal did not pass.
	Microsoft	Significant Human Rights	(No - Shareholders would benefit from increased	(We shall monitor the response from the
	Corporation	o o	,	company given the high level of support for this
	(2.8%)	(Social)		proposal.)
	, ,		Against	, ,
			(No - The US Department of Labor has not finalized its	
		07/12/2023 : Shareholder	rule on climate-related financial risk and the	
		Proposal Regarding Report on	retirement plan offerings appear to be broad enough	
	Microsoft	Climate Risk In Employee		9% Support
	Corporation	Retirement Options	· ·	Proposal did not pass.
	(2.8%)	(Environmental)	the default plan.)	(None to report.)
		21/09/2023 : Shareholder		
		Proposal Regarding Report on	For	
		Climate Risk In Employee	(No - The proposal would further enable shareholders	7.6% Support
	Fedex Corp	Retirement Default Options	to determine the strength of company policy, strategy	Proposal did not pass.
	(1.1%)	(Environmental)	and approach in managing the retirement plan.)	(None to report.)
			For	
			(No - The manager supported this proposal as they felt	100% Support
			the current level of disclosures are sufficient to allow	Proposal passed.
			shareholders to understand and evaluate how the	(The manager will continue to engage with
Mercer			company intends to meet its climate objectives. The	investee companies, publicly advocate their
Passive			company has adopted a net zero ambition and has set	position on this issue and monitor company and
Climate	EDP-	12/04/2023 : Assessment of		market-level progress. The manager will
	_	2030 Climate Change	The Company also provides reporting aligned with the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	_	Commitment	,	line with their minimum expectations and assess
Equity UCITS	(1.3%)	(Environmental)		their progress across E, S and G factors.)
CCF				18% Support
		21/04/2023 : Shareholder		Proposal did not pass.
		Proposal Regarding Scope 3	(No - The manager voted for this resolution is applied	(While there is room for improvement regarding
	Energy	Targets		scope 3 targets, the company has made clear
	(1.1%)	(Environmental)	targets covering all scopes of emissions.)	progress over recent years. They have

Ī			I	committed to Net Zero direct emissions by 2035,
				driven by an accelerated closure of coal plants
				replaced by solar, wind and batteries. The
				manager will continue to engage as the
				company progresses its commitment.)
		24/05/2023 : Shareholder	Against	company progresses its communicity.
	Southern		(N/a - A vote against is applied as the manager expects	Mithdrawn
	Company	Net Zero 2050 Goal Progress	companies to be taking sufficient action on the key	(The proposal was withdrawn following the
	(1.3%)	(Environmental)	,	managers' vote.)
	(1.5%)	(Environmental)	For	inanagers vote.)
			(No - A vote in support of this proposal is warranted as	10% Support
			The state of the s	Proposal did not pass.
			_ , , , , ,	(The manager will continue to engage with
			, ,	investee companies, publicly advocate their
			· · ·	position on this issue and monitor company and
		24/05/2023 : Shareholder		market-level progress. The manager will
	Southern	Proposal Regarding Scope 3		continue to assess companies' transition plans in
	Company	GHG Emissions Targets	continue to monitor the Company's commitments and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(1.3%)	(Environmental)	1 ' '	their progress across E, S and G factors.)
	(1.5/0)	(Livironiniental)	For	then progress across E, 3 and G factors.)
			(No - A vote in favour is applied as the manager	
			supports proposals related to improvement in	
			information available in respect of diversity and	
			inclusion policies as the manager considers these	
			issues to be a material risk to companies.	
Mercer			issues to be a material risk to companies.	
Passive			In addition, in June 2022, 45.59% percent of Digital	Withdrawn
Global REITS				(The proposal was withdrawn following the
UCITS CCF		08/06/2023 : Shareholder	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	managers' vote. The manager will review the
	Digital	Proposal Regarding		proposal if it is tabled again at future AGMs, and
	_	Concealment Clauses	concealment clauses, nor has it agreed to a	continue to monitor the company's D&I
	Inc (2.9%)	(Governance)		disclosure and policies.)
	, ,	,		93% Support
	Klepierre	11/05/2023 : Opinion on		Proposal passed.
	(0.4%)	Climate Ambitions and	company's sufficient disclosures and commitments.	(The manager will continue to engage with

		Objectives (Environmental)	The company has committed to a net-zero carbon portfolio by 2030 and its carbon reduction targets for Scopes 1 and 2 emissions, and Scope 3 for downstream leased assets was validated by the SBTi as aligned with a 1.5°C scenario.)	· •
	Public Storage	02/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding GHG Targets and Alignment with Paris Agreement	(No - A vote in favour is applied as the manager expects companies to introduce credible transition plans, consistent with the Paris goals of limiting the global average temperature increase to 1.5°C. This includes the disclosure of scope 1, 2 and material scope 3 GHG emissions and short-, medium- and long-term GHG emissions reduction targets consistent with	35% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager will continue to assess companies' transition plans in line with their minimum expectations and assess
	(3.1%)	(Environmental)	the 1.5°C goal.)	their progress across E, S and G factors.)
	-	01/06/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with the Paris Agreement (Environmental)		94% Support Proposal passed. (None to report)
Mercer Passive Global Small Cap Equity UCITS CCF	Casey`s General Stores, Inc. (0.2%)	06/09/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Disclosure of Supplier Code of Conduct (Governance)	For (No - The manager supported this item, given that additional clarity on the Company's responsible sourcing practices or the timeline associated with the release of a Supplier Handbook containing the information outlined in its sustainability report, is warranted)	18% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)
	Casey`s General Stores, Inc. (0.2%)	06/09/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Aligning GHG Reductions with Paris Agreement (Environment)	(No - The proposal would further enable shareholders	32% Support Proposal did not pass. (None to report)

1		1	For	1
		11/05/2023 : Shareholder	(No - The manager supported this shareholder	
		Proposal Regarding GHG	proposal as they believed its success would further	
	Texas	Targets and Alignment with	enable shareholders to determine the strength of	40% Support
		the Paris Agreement	company policy, strategy and actions in regards to	Proposal did not pass.
	Inc (0.1%)	(Environmental)	climate change.)	(None to report)
		01/05/2023 : Shareholder	Against	270/ Command
	(51:) 0	Proposal Regarding Diversity		27% Support
	Lilly(Eli) &	and Inclusion Report	they felt the company provides existing reporting	Proposal did not pass.
	Co (1.0%)	(Social)	covering the majority of the information requested.)	(None to report)
			Against	
			(No - The company's existing policies prohibit	
			discrimination based on political affiliations, The	
			company reports on its diversity and inclusion	
			initiatives and has initiatives in place to increase	
		07/12/2023 : Shareholder	diverse hiring. The company prohibits discrimination	
	Microsoft	Proposal Regarding EEO Policy	on the basis of protected class and seeks to promote a	1% Support
Mercer	Corporation	Risk Report	culture based on equal opportunity. This proposal is	Proposal did not pass.
Passive Low	(1.6%)	(Social)	covered by existing policies.)	(None to report)
Volatility		07/12/2023: Shareholder		
<b>Equity UCITS</b>		Proposal Regarding Report on		
CCF		Median Compensation and	Against	1% Support
		Benefits Related to	(No - Microsoft already provides pay equity and	Proposal did not pass.
	Microsoft	Reproductive and Gender	median gender and racial pay gap reporting. It further	(We shall monitor the response from the
	Corporation	Dysphoria Care	provides various health and wellbeing benefits, details	company given the high level of support for this
	(1.6%)	(Social)	of which are disclosed.)	proposal.)
			Against	
			(No - The US Department of Labor has not finalized its	
		07/12/2023 : Shareholder	rule on climate-related financial risk and the	
			retirement plan offerings appear to be broad enough	
	Microsoft	Climate Risk In Employee	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9% Support
	Corporation	Retirement Options	greater environmental and social considerations than	Proposal did not pass.
	(1.6%)	(Environmental)	the default plan.)	(None to report)

	PepsiCo Inc (1.3%)	03/05/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Congruency Report on Net- Zero Emissions Policy (Environmental)	Against (N/a - The manager voted against this proposal, noting that the company have existing disclosures in place that meet the requirements of this reporting. In particular, the comapny publishes its GHG emissions targets, and its emissions generated from employee travel. This information allows shareholders to assess the company's congruence between its publicly stated goals, and its policies and expenditures on employee travel.)	
		02/06/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Impact Assessment (Social)	For (The manager published their intention to vote for this resolution, against management's recommendation A vote in favour is applied as the manager supports such risk assessments as they consider human rights issues to be a material risk to companies.)	18% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their
Mercer Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF		02/06/2023 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with	For (No - The manager voted for this proposal, noting their encouragement of all companies to report their climate lobbying activity in line with the Global	14% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and
	Apple Inc (5.5%)	28/02/2024: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Median Gender and Racial Pay Equity Report (Governance)	For (No - A vote in favour was applied as the manager expects companies to disclose meaningful information on its gender pay gap and the initiatives it is applying	30.9% Support Proposal did not pass. (The manager will continue to engage with investee companies, publicly advocate their position on this issue and monitor company and market-level progress. The manager has engaged with Apple a number of times in recent

			years, and will monitor their response to
		Against	shareholder concerns on these issues.)
		Against (N/A - A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted. The	
	28/02/2024: Shareholder	company appears to provide shareholders with sufficient disclosure to assess its management of risks	
	Proposal Regarding	related to its operations in high-risk markets and to	
		have policies and oversight mechanisms in place that	1.6% Support
Apple Inc	and Human Rights Policies	seem to address human rights concerns raised by the	Proposal did not pass.
(5.5%)	(Social)	proponent.)	(None to report.)
		Against	
		(N/A - A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted, as	
	28/02/2024: Shareholder	the company appears to be providing shareholders	
	Proposal Regarding Equal	with sufficient disclosure around its diversity and	
	Employment Opportunities	inclusion efforts and nondiscrimination policies, and	1.3% Support
Apple Inc	(EEO) Policy Risk Report	including viewpoint and ideology in EEO policies does	Proposal did not pass.
(5.5%)	(Social)	not appear to be a standard industry practice.)	(None to report.)
			1% Support
			Proposal did not pass.
		Against	(Microsoft is a company with whom the
_	07/12/2023 : Shareholder		manager does have a direct relationship, and in
Microsoft		company appears to be taking appropriate steps to	their meetings with them, the manager intends
Corporation	1	protect itself against risks related to discrimination	to continue assessing their processes and
(7.9%)	(Social)	based on political ideology or viewpoint.)	disclosures regarding these issues.)
	07/12/2022 - Charabaldan		33% Support
	07/12/2023 : Shareholder	Fau	Proposal did not pass.
	Proposal Regarding Report on Siting in Countries of	For	(Microsoft is a company with whom the manager does have a direct relationship, and in
Microsoft	Significant Human Rights	(No - The manager supported this proposal, as shareholders would benefit from increased disclosure	their meetings with them, the manager intends
Corporation	_	regarding how the company is managing human rights-	
(7.9%)	(Social)	related risks in high-risk countries.)	disclosures regarding these issues.)
(7.570)	(Social)	coated risks in riight risk coantines.)	9% Support
Microsoft	07/12/2023 : Shareholder	Against	Proposal did not pass.
	Proposal Regarding Report on	(N/a - The manager voted against this proposal, given	(Microsoft is a company with whom the
(7.9%)	Climate Risk In Employee	because the company's retirement plan is managed by	

		-	a third-party fiduciary and employees are offered a	their meetings with them, the manager intends
		(Environmental)	self-directed option.)	to continue assessing their processes and
				disclosures regarding these issues.)
				16% Support
				Proposal did not pass.
				(Concerns with the Company's 2030 targets
				being reduced in the months leading up to the
				AGM were noted, particularly following 85%
				support from shareholders in 2022 when they
				were asked to approve the company's former
				targets. This alone didn't warrant a vote in
		1	Against	favour, given the belief that the Company should
			(N/a - Given the Company's existing targets and	not be required to adhere to a strategy that the
		_	disclosures, as well as the complexity and uncertainty	board no longer believes is in the best interests
			in setting these targets, managers did not support this	
	(0.3%)	(Environmental)	proposal. )	market or in demand.)
			For	
MGI			(No - The manager voted for the proposed	
Eurozone			amendments as they would favor additional	
Equity Fund			information of shareholders without infringing on the	
			Board's prerogatives. Despite this, the manager noted	
			concerns raised by investors regarding the debate	
			surrounding the use of a bylaw amendment to support	
			•	Proposal did not pass.
	Engie (0.3%)	(Environmental)	company's climate strategy.)	(None to report)
			For	
			(N/a - Managers supported this proposal, noting the	
			company had made sufficient progress over the year	
			and were responsive to engagement efforts from	
			investors. While they felt there was still room for	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		86% Support
		,	the company committed to reduce by 30 percent	Proposal passed.
	_		scope 3 GHG emissions from oil production by 2030	(Managers are continuing to monitor the
	s SE (1.1%)	(Environmental)	and committed to disclose absolute targets for GHG	company against its recent commitments.)

1			emissions covering all activities as well as further	
			information regarding their environmental impact. )	
			Split -	
			(No - For (1):	
			The manager who voted FOR this proposal noted its	
			adoption would help to strengthen the company's	
			efforts to reduce its carbon footprint and align its	
			Scope 3 emission targets with Paris Agreement goals	
			and would allow investors to better understand how	
			the company is managing both its transition to a low	
			carbon economy and its climate change-related risks.	
			,	
		26/05/2023 : Shareholder	Against (1):	
		Proposal Regarding Scope 3	The manager that voted against felt this proposal did	
		GHG Target and Alignment	not merit support as they were satisfied with the	29% Support
	TotalEnergie	with Paris Agreement	existing progress and disclosures put forward by the	Proposal did not pass.
	s SE (1.1%)	(Environmental)	company in its climate progress report.)	(None to report)
		27/04/2023 : Shareholder	Against	
			(N/a - Manager voted against as there were concerns	
		_	that shareholder-mandated revisions of the company's	• •
	'	Emissions	•	Proposal did not pass.
	(2.3%)	(Environmental)	the best interest of shareholders.)	(None to report)
			For	
			(N/a - The Company has adopted a net zero ambition	
			and has set reduction targets for its Scope 1, 2, and 3	
MGI UK			emissions. The Company also provides reporting	
Equity Fund			aligned with the TCFD, information concerning its	
			scenario analysis, and has received third-party	
	Legal &		assurance on its GHG emissions. Overall, we believe its	
		18/05/2023 : Approval of		95% Support
		Climate Transition Plan	understand and evaluate how the Company intends, at	
	(1.8%)	(Environmental)	this time, to meet its climate objectives.)	(None to report)
		23/05/2023 : Shareholder		19% Support
		Proposal Regarding Scope 3	, , ,	Proposal did not pass.
	(4.7%)	GHG Target and Alignment	goals, and its extensive disclosure on the steps it is	(None to report)

1		with Paris Agreement	taking to mitigate its environmental impact, the
		(Environmental)	manager did not believe that adoption of this proposal
			would benefit the Company or its shareholders)